

Enhancing Growth and Quality of Red Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* cv. Kashi Lalima) Using Nano Urea and Bio-enhancers

Arshpreet Singh¹, Sandeep Kumar Singh², Rajneesh Thakur^{2*}, Harpreet Kaur¹, Aman Sejwal¹, Simarjit Kaur¹, Anuj Kumar¹, Rajwant Kaur¹

DOI: 10.18811/ijpen.v11i01.23

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out on red okra at Experimental Farm, Kharora, Mata Gujri College, Sri Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India to check the nano urea and bio-enhancer's impact on quantitative and qualitative attributes of red okra cv. Kashi Lalima with various treatments under randomized block design. Eight treatments were evaluated out of these treatments T₆ (Nano Urea @0.4% + Panchgavya @3%) responded superior in yield parameters such as length of pod (10.44 cm), pod diameter (1.89 cm), pod weight (9.74 g), pod yield (9.82 q ha⁻¹), number of pods plant⁻¹ (18.44), biological yield (18.82 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (52.63%). The quality characters were significantly affected by T₆ in which maximum TSS content (7.70°Brix), crude protein (1.79%), crude fat (5.14 %), anthocyanin (3.49 mg 100g⁻¹), vitamin A (0.62 mg 100g⁻¹) and vitamin C (18.84 mg 100g⁻¹), respectively. Additionally, treatment T₆ gives better results in higher B:C ratio (2.94), gross returns (₹ 5,23,770.83 ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 3,99,286.93 ha⁻¹), respectively.

Keywords: Red Okra, quantitative, Qualitative attributes, Bio-enhancers, Nano fertilizers.

International Journal of Plant and Environment (2025);

ISSN: 2454-1117 (Print), 2455-202X (Online)

INTRODUCTION

Red okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) from the family Malvaceae which is also known as mallow family. Among the vegetable crops, the lady's finger has the highest chromosome no. (2n = 130). Geographically okra originated in Ethiopia (Simmone *et al.*, 2004 and Naveed *et al.*, 2009). Red okra is a fast-growing, erect, annual and herbaceous plant cultivated as a vegetable crop throughout the world. The most common names of okra in different regions are bhindi or bhendi in India, ochoro in Southeastern parts of Asia and gumbo in the United States of America. This crop thrives in long warm and humid climates. India leads 1st position by producing around 60% of okra in the world (Anonymous, 2023). It is consumed as raw vegetables, used as soups, salad stews and fresh/dried, boiled, or fried (Ndunguru and Rajabu, 2004). Okra pods contain gummy material which appears in the form of mucilage. Color of red okra is due to the presence of anthocyanin and phenolics (Anonymous, 2018). Anthocyanins are pigments present in vascular parts of plants.

Nano fertilizers is a novel strategy for sustainable agriculture that makes the effective use of every drop of fertilizer available in liquid form. One type of nano fertilizer that helps plants meet their nitrogen needs is called nano urea. India has become the first country which globally start commercial production of Nano Urea. The Government of India has notified you in accordance with the Fertilizer Control Order, 1985. The IFFCO Nano urea liquid specifies that the particle size is smaller than 100 nm. It has a two-year shelf life that contains 4% N. Their larger surface area and exact concentration make nutrients available to plants gradually and are very soluble in water (Johnson & Lee, 2018 and Smith *et al.*, 2020).

The organic manures known as bio-enhancers are available in powder or liquid form and serve as effective alternatives to chemical fertilizers when used at the right rate and time.

¹(Horticulture- Vegetable Science), Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab-140407, India.

^{2*}Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab-140407, India.

***Corresponding author:** Rajneesh Thakur, Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab-140407, India., Email: rjthakur579@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Singh, A., Singh, S.K., Thakur, R., Kaur, H., Sejwal, A., Kaur, S., Kumar, A., Kaur, R. (2025). Enhancing Growth and Quality of Red Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* cv. Kashi Lalima) Using Nano Urea and Bio-enhancers. *International Journal of Plant and Environment*. 11(1), 213-218.

Submitted: 30/08/2024 **Accepted:** 06/03/2025 **Published:** 28/03/2025

They offer dual benefits by increasing soil productivity and crop yield. Bio-enhancers are usually produced from actively fermenting plants by using animal residues, which provide rich macronutrients (NPK), micronutrients, microbial communities, and compounds that support plant immunity and growth (Pathak and Ram, 2013). Single Indian cow dung and cow urine are enough to meet 12 hectares of land for organic cultivation (Aulakh *et al.*, 2013).

Panchgavya is a bio-enhancer composed of a blend of five elements obtained from cow waste, specifically cow dung, cow urine, cow curd, cow ghee and cow milk. Additionally, jaggery and ripened bananas are included in the mixture. These components are thoroughly combined and left covered for a period of 30 days, after which it becomes a fermented solution with beneficial effects on crops. The mixture is stirred both clockwise and anticlockwise for 15 minutes every day. After the fermentation period, the resulting product is abundant in plant growth nutrients such as gibberellins, auxins and microbial

fauna, serving as a tonic to improve soil quality and stimulate the vigor of plants, leading to the production of high-quality yields (Swarnam *et al.*, 2016).

Jivamrita is a liquid bio-enhancer, consisting of biological waste material such as cow urine, dung, pulse flour, jaggery and virgin soil. It took 5-7 days for the proper fermentation of solution. It helps to progress the microbial activities and microbial community in the soil (Borairah *et al.*, 2017).

The main objective of this study is to check the impact of bio-enhancers and nano-fertilizers on the quality, yield and economics of red okra and to compute the economics of different treatments applied to crops. Enhancing growth and quality of red okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* cv. Kashi Lalima) using nano urea and bio-enhancers (Kumar *et al.*, 2023, Mehta & Gupta, 2023, Choudhary & Mishra, 2024, Patel *et al.*, 2024 and Singh *et al.*, 2024).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Experimental Farm, Mata Gujri College, Kharora, District Sri Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India during the summer season of 2023. Various soil samples were analyzed from different spots at a depth of 15 cm before laying out an experiment. Soil texture was sandy loam estimated using (Piper, 1996) and a pH value (7.8) neutral to slightly basic soil was calculated using (Jackson, 1973). The experiment consisted of nine treatments with three replications viz. T₀ (Control), T₁ (Nano urea @0.2%), T₂ (Nano urea @0.4%), T₃ (Panchgavya @3%), T₄ (Jivamrita @10%), T₅ (Nano urea @0.2% + Panchgavya @3%), T₆ (Nano urea @0.4% + Panchgavya @3%), T₇ (Nano urea @0.2% + Jivamrita @10%) and T₈ (Nano urea @0.4% + Jivamrita @10%) under randomized block design (RBD). The dimensions of each plot size were measured as 2.4 m x 2.4 m. Proper spacing was maintained at 45 x 15 cm. The cultivar used was Kashi Lalima (VROR-157) which was purchased from the Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. As per the recommendations of Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India recommended dose of fertilizers is added to all plots equally. Seeds were sown using the dibbling method at a depth of 1 to 2 cm on the ridges in the last week of February 2023. A total of eight irrigations were done at 10 to 12 days intervals during the vegetative to reproductive phase. Crop harvesting starts from mid-April to the second fortnight of May at 2-3 days intervals.

Panchgavya

A mixture of fresh cow urine and 5 L of water was added, followed by mixing and 1 L of cow milk and 6 bananas were added and stirred for a month for proper decomposition and results in the initiation of the fermentation process. The mixture was stirred twice daily in both clockwise and anti-clockwise directions. The plots were treated with a 3% dose of panchgavya at 30, 45, and 60 days after sowing. A 3% solution of panchgavya was created by mixing 30 mL of panchgavya in 1000 mL of water (Verma *et al.*, 2019).

Jivamrita

To make the solution of jivamrita, 10 kg of fresh cow dung and 10 L of cow urine were mixed with jaggery solution. Then, pulse flour @2 kg was added to the solution with @1-kg live soil. The

mixture was stirred twice daily and left for fermentation for 7 days to create the final solution. A 10% dose of Jivamrita was used for foliar application at 30, 45, and 60 days after sowing in the treatment. Jivamrita @10% solution was created by mixing 100 mL of Jivamrita with 1000 mL of water (Kaur *et al.*, 2021).

Nano -urea

The IFFCO nano urea bottle was bought from a nearby market and applied at rates of 0.2 and 0.4% on plots 30, 45 and 60 days after planting. A mixture of 2 and 4 mL of nano urea was prepared in 1000 mL of water at concentrations of 0.2 and 0.4%, respectively (Goud *et al.*, 2022).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fruiting Attributes

Pod diameter (cm)

The recorded pod diameter (cm) was maximum in T₆ (1.89 cm) shown in Table 1 and was statically at par with T₅ (1.78 cm). This may be the outcome of nano urea's effect on enzymatic activity, which produces organic acids and converts energy for fruit development and growth. Additionally, panchgavya application promotes growth enhancers in a plant like an auxin and gibberellins (Panda *et al.*, 2020). Similar results were found by Panda *et al.*, (2020), Subraamani *et al.*, (2023) and Meena *et al.*, (2023).

Pod length (cm)

Pod length was found maximum in T₆ treated plots in which the average value of pods was (10.44 cm) recorded which was statistically at par with T₅ (9.90 cm) and T₈ (9.71 cm) represented in Table 1. The potential cause of the longer pods might be nano urea, which strengthens and stretches cell walls to aid in fruit form alteration. Growth is aided by panchgavya application because it produces hormones. Similar results were found by Panda *et al.*, (2020), Meena *et al.*, (2023), Subramani *et al.*, (2023) and Balyan *et al.*, (2024).

Number of pods plant⁻¹

Data recorded on a number of pods plant⁻¹ showed significant results with application of T₆ that was (18.44) respectively which was statistically at par with T₅ (18.10) and T₈ (17.46) and shown in Table 1. This finding might be the result of the application of panchgavya, which builds up auxin and cytokinins in plants and may increase the number of fruits produced per plant (Swain *et al.*, 2015). Nano urea responds to cell growth due to better absorption that leads to accumulation and translocation of nutrients to whole plants (Kumawat *et al.*, 2013, Bhawariya *et al.*, 2022 and Mirji *et al.*, 2023).

Pod weight (g)

Pod weight (g) was significantly influenced by the T₆ treated plot that showed maximum weight (9.74 g) and was statistically at par with T₅ (9.19 g) represented in Table 1. The impact of panchgavya on pod weight is a result of enhanced photosynthetic activity translocation to economically important regions. This is because more chlorophyll is formed, which facilitates the translocation of more carbohydrates towards fruit (Yadav *et al.*, 2019). Nano urea

Table 1: Fruiting attributes of red okra

| Treatments | Pod diameter (cm) | Pod length (cm) | No. of pods plant ⁻¹ | Pod weight (g) |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| T ₀ | 1.37 | 7.40 | 12.30 | 6.45 |
| T ₁ | 1.61 | 8.12 | 14.64 | 6.69 |
| T ₂ | 1.67 | 9.47 | 15.38 | 7.40 |
| T ₃ | 1.62 | 8.49 | 14.96 | 7.15 |
| T ₄ | 1.53 | 7.61 | 13.95 | 6.68 |
| T ₅ | 1.78 | 9.90 | 18.10 | 9.19 |
| T ₆ | 1.89 | 10.44 | 18.44 | 9.74 |
| T ₇ | 1.68 | 9.34 | 16.11 | 7.72 |
| T ₈ | 1.70 | 9.71 | 17.46 | 8.10 |
| SEm (±) | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.66 | 0.27 |
| CD _(0.05) | 0.11 | 0.93 | 1.98 | 0.80 |

contributes to the development of plant parts by increasing pod weight by making nitrogen available. Similar results were found by Vennila and Jayanthi (2008), Adeyeye *et al.* (2017), Davarpanah *et al.*, (2017), Devanda *et al.*, (2021) and Madhvi *et al.*, (2022).

Pod yield

The superior outcomes for pod yield were observed in treatment T₆ in which maximum pod yield (130.99 g plant⁻¹), (9.82 kg plot⁻¹) and (174.59 q ha⁻¹) were recorded and represented in Table 2. According to Swain *et al.*, (2015), plant yield may be impacted by panchgavya enhancing photosynthetic activity and improving the source-sink relationship, while nano urea promotes proper photosynthesis and boosts microbial activity for increased yield (Sharada and Sujathamma, 2018). Similar findings were observed (Lekshmi *et al.*, 2022) and (Ojha *et al.*, 2023).

Biological yield

The biological yield was recorded maximum under the treatment T₆ in which data showed (18.82 kg plot⁻¹), respectively and statistically at par with T₅ (18.70 kg plot⁻¹) shown in Table 2. The translocation of nutrients and growth regulators like IAA and GA to plants can be easily influenced by the foliar application

of panchgavya, which may have a positive impact on plants (Choudhary *et al.*, 2017). Similar findings were observed by Kumawat *et al.*, (2013).

Harvest index (%)

The data recorded showed a maximum harvest index (52.63%) in treatment T₆ and was statistically at par with T₅ (50.95%) and T₈ (50.82%) represented in Table 2. The use of panchgavya improves the harvest index by enhancing photosynthesis and hormonal characteristics, while nano nitrogen enhances transportation through foliar application based on demand. Similar findings were observed by Shivaprasad and Chittapur (2009), Midde *et al.*, (2022) and Reddy *et al.*, (2022).

Quality Attributes

TSS content (°Brix)

The data recorded from various treatments shows a non-significant effect on TSS values while the maximum value was recorded in T₆ (7.70 °Brix) and statistically at par with T₅ (7.67 °Brix) represented in Table 3. This could be attributed to the key function in the chloroplast's formation, CO₂ absorption and enzyme activation related to enhanced photosynthesis and carbohydrate storage, leading to an increase in the total soluble solids (TSS) in pomegranates (Davarpanah *et al.*, 2017). Another possible explanation could be the use of panchgavya, which may increase the nitrogen levels available for the production of secondary metabolites such as phenols. These phenols act as a natural defense mechanism for plants (Bhadauria *et al.*, 2023) that improves the TSS level in fruit.

Crude fat (%)

Crude fat was recorded as maximum in T₆ which was (5.14 %) which was statistically at par with T₅ (5.10%) represented in Table 3. Panchgavya application resulted in the highest findings possibly due to its foliar application, which impacts nutrient availability and boosts microbial activity (Dhanushkodi and Nageswari, 2022)

Crude protein (%)

Observed data revealed that the maximum value of crude protein was recorded in T₆ (1.79%) and was followed by T₅

Table 2: Fruiting attributes of red okra

| Treatments | Pod yield (g plant ⁻¹) | Pod yield (kg plot ⁻¹) | Pod yield (q ha ⁻¹) | Biological yield (kg plot ⁻¹) | Harvest index (%) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| T ₀ | 82.45 | 6.18 | 109.92 | 16.79 | 37.10 |
| T ₁ | 100.01 | 7.49 | 132.94 | 16.97 | 44.17 |
| T ₂ | 110.61 | 8.29 | 147.50 | 17.20 | 48.22 |
| T ₃ | 108.04 | 8.10 | 144.13 | 17.08 | 47.45 |
| T ₄ | 94.94 | 7.12 | 126.58 | 16.93 | 41.95 |
| T ₅ | 127.14 | 9.53 | 169.88 | 18.70 | 50.95 |
| T ₆ | 130.99 | 9.82 | 174.59 | 18.82 | 52.63 |
| T ₇ | 115.59 | 8.66 | 154.09 | 17.61 | 49.19 |
| T ₈ | 121.09 | 9.11 | 161.46 | 17.78 | 50.82 |
| SEm (±) | 1.38 | 0.28 | 1.66 | 0.12 | 0.67 |
| CD _(0.05) | 4.14 | 0.85 | 4.97 | 0.36 | 2.01 |

Table 3: Quality attributes of red okra

| Treatments | TSS ($^{\circ}$ Brix) | Crude fat (%) | Crude protein (%) | Crude fibre (%) | Anthocyanin (mg 100 g ⁻¹) | Vitamin C (mg 100 g ⁻¹) | Vitamin A (mg 100 g ⁻¹) | Ash content (%) |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| T ₀ | 7.47 | 4.65 | 1.29 | 8.84 | 2.97 | 15.34 | 0.49 | 1.16 |
| T ₁ | 7.63 | 4.77 | 1.48 | 9.00 | 3.20 | 15.88 | 0.54 | 1.34 |
| T ₂ | 7.64 | 4.67 | 1.53 | 8.87 | 3.25 | 16.54 | 0.56 | 1.37 |
| T ₃ | 7.57 | 4.86 | 1.58 | 9.10 | 3.22 | 18.53 | 0.55 | 1.25 |
| T ₄ | 7.60 | 4.77 | 1.55 | 9.18 | 36 | 15.40 | 0.53 | 1.26 |
| T ₅ | 7.67 | 5.10 | 1.72 | 9.97 | 3.29 | 18.70 | 0.60 | 1.41 |
| T ₆ | 7.70 | 5.14 | 1.79 | 10.01 | 3.49 | 18.84 | 0.62 | 1.46 |
| T ₇ | 7.63 | 4.67 | 1.69 | 9.60 | 33 | 17.10 | 0.57 | 1.31 |
| T ₈ | 7.37 | 5.06 | 1.45 | 9.91 | 3.23 | 16.89 | 0.58 | 1.23 |
| SEm (±) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.53 | 0.01 | NS |
| CD _(0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 1.59 | 0.04 | NS |

(1.72%) represented in Table 3. Jakhar *et al.*, (2022) suggest that the positive results could be linked to panchgavya increasing nitrogen uptake, boosting photosynthesis and enhancing protoplasm and protein synthesis during the growth phase. An additional factor for the rise in crude protein levels from nano urea could be linked to enhanced plant growth through the application of higher doses of nano fertilizers (Kanno *et al.*, 2022), as well as the increased surface area and nutrient accessibility to the crops which enhance the quality of crude protein (Burhan and Al-Hassan, 2019).

Crude fibre (%)

The data recorded on crude fiber content showed a non-significant effect of various treatments and the following results revealed that maximum crude fiber content (10.01%) was recorded in the treatment T₆ which was statistically at par with T₅ (9.97%) represented in Table 3.

Anthocyanin content (mg 100g⁻¹)

The maximum anthocyanin content was recorded in T₆ (3.49 mg 100 g⁻¹) and statistically at par with T₅ (3.29 mg) represented

in Table 3. Results were found to be non-significant due to the application of treatments on anthocyanin.

Vitamin C (mg 100 g⁻¹)

Data recorded on vitamin C show significant results in T₆ which was (18.84 mg) followed by T₅ (18.70 mg) and T₃ (18.53 mg) represented in Table 3. Observations in favor of panchgavya may be attributed to its gradual yet steady supply of essential nutrients, aiding in carbohydrate assimilation and the production of ascorbic acid. Additionally, panchgavya acts as a reservoir solution, causing a decrease and promoting cosmic ray penetration to harmonize basic growth elements, revitalizing the growth process in bitter melon (Anuja and Archana, 2012).

Vitamin A (mg 100 g⁻¹)

Vitamin A content showed a significant effect of various treatments and results revealed that maximum vitamin A (0.62 mg) was recorded in the treatment T₆ and statistically at par with T₅ (0.60 mg) and T₈ (0.58 mg) represented in Table 3. This could lead to higher levels of vitamin A as a result of the mineral nutrients present (Panda *et al.*, 2020). The potential benefits of

Table 4: Economics of red okra

| Treatments | Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹) | Gross income (₹ ha ⁻¹) | Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹) | Benefit: Cost ratio |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| T ₀ Control | 121102.88 | 329760.12 | 206992.24 | 1.72 (RDF) |
| T ₁ Nano urea @0.2% | 123552.63 | 398820.37 | 275602.74 | 2.23 |
| T ₂ Nano urea @0.4% | 127002.39 | 442500.61 | 318830.22 | 2.51 |
| T ₃ Panchagavya @3% | 129600.95 | 432390.05 | 308806.1 | 2.38 |
| T ₄ Jivamrita @10% | 125586.96 | 379740.04 | 255082.08 | 2.03 |
| T ₅ Nano urea @0.2% + Panchagavya @3% | 134050.66 | 509640.34 | 385606.68 | 2.87 |
| T ₆ Nano urea @0.4% + Panchagavya @3% | 135501.17 | 523770.83 | 399286.83 | 2.94 |
| T ₇ Nano urea @0.2% + Jivamrita @10% | 131036.81 | 462270.19 | 338062.38 | 2.57 |
| T ₈ Nano urea @0.4% + Jivamrita @10% | 131486.59 | 484380.41 | 359722.82 | 2.73 |

using panchgavya in agriculture include promoting crop growth and strength, increasing resistance to pests and disease, and preserving the quality of fruits and vegetables (Natarajan, 2003).

Ash content (%)

Data recorded on ash content show non-significant results and were found maximum in T₆ (1.46 %) and followed by T₅ (1.41 %) represented in Table 3. Maximum observations may be due to panchgavya, which raised the mineral content in the plant (Kala and Eswari, 2019). Bogacz *et al.*, (2021) found that nitrogen fertilizers resulted in increased ash content uptake in various plant parts during vegetative growth. Similar findings were also observed (Abd El-Rahman and Abd-Elkarim, 2022).

Economics

One of the most crucial parameters is the economic value of the crop in the market. The market sold red okra pods with total earnings of (₹ 523770.83 ha⁻¹) and cultivation expenses of ₹ 135501.17 per hectare mentioned in table 3.3. The treatment T₆ had the highest total net returns (₹ 399286.93 ha⁻¹) and also achieved the highest benefit: cost ratio (2.94). In comparison to T₆, T₀ had the lowest net returns recorded at (₹ 206992.24 ha⁻¹) represented in Table 4.

CONCLUSION

The study suggests that treatment T₆ (nano urea @0.4%+Panchgavya @3%) should be chosen for cultivation of red okra, at farmer fields because it provides greater net returns and a higher B:C ratio as well as improves the quality of the produce including vitamins, protein and fats, etc parameters. Behalf of this, it enhances the yield of crops resulting in good income generation. Reducing nitrogen losses in the environment and managing nitrogen sites specifically is advantageous for preserving ecological balance. Furthermore, integrating home-based items like panchgavya can greatly benefit the organic growth of vegetable crops at a low cost.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank the institute that provided us with the opportunity to conduct our research project. We would like to extend our gratitude to Dr. Sandeep Kumar Singh, our supervisor, for providing us with precise guidance and motivation throughout the entire research. Finally, we are grateful to our parents and all family members for their valuable and financial assistance.

REFERENCES

Abd, E.I., Rahman, M.M.A and Abd Elkarim, N. A. A. (2022). Effect of N-fertilizers on growth, fruiting and the fruits nutritive value of zaghoul date palm. *SVU- International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4(1), 124-134.

Adeyeye, A.S., Ishaku, M.A., Gadu, H.O., Olalekan, K.K and Lamid, W.A. (2017). Comparative effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers treatments on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Journal of Botanical Sciences*, 6(2), 8-11.

Anonymous. (2018). Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi. IIVR, Department of Agricultural Research and Education, Ministry of Agriculture and Government of India. www.iivr.icar.gov.in.

Anonymous. (2023). Press Information Bureau Data Base. Press Information

Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. www.pib.gov.in.

Anuja and Archana. (2012). Effect of organic nutrients on yield and quality of bittergourd. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 8(1), 205-208.

Aulakh, C.S., Singh, H., Walia, S.S., Phutela, R.P and Singh, G. (2013). Evaluation of microbial culture (Jivamrita) preparation and its effect on productivity of field crops. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 58(2), 182-186.

Balyan, V., Bhatnagar, P., Singh, J., Sharma, Y. K., Chopra, R and Mishra, A. (2024). Impact of foliar application of nano urea levels on quality, physiological and leaf nutrient content attributes of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) cv. Kagzi in vertisols of Jhalawar district in Rajasthan. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research*, 24(1), 19-32.

Bhadauria, A.S and Tripathi, V.K. (2023). Effect of bio-enhancers and biofertilizers on growth and quality of mango cv. Amarpali under sub- tropical plains of central Uttar Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, 35(19), 1260-1267.

Bhawariya, A., Pareek, N.K., Sunda, S.L., Rakesh, S and Rathore, B.S. (2022). Effect of foliar application of organics and fertilizers on growth yield and economics of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub). *Biological Forum- An International Journal*, 14(1), 608-613.

Bogacz, I.G., Helios, W., Kotecki, A., Kozak, M and Rodzenska, A.J. (2021). Content and uptake of ash and selected nutrients (K, Ca, S) with biomass of *Miscanthus × giganteus* depending on nitrogen fertilization. *Agriculture*, 11(76), 1-16.

Boraiah, B., Devakumar, N, Shubha, S and Palanna, K.B. (2017). Effect of Panchgavya, jivamrita on growth and yield of sunflower. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(9), 3226-3234.

Burhan, M.G and Al-Hassan, S.A. (2019). Impact of nano NPK fertilizers to correlation between productivity, quality and flag leaf of some bred wheat varieties. *Iraq Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 50(1), 1-7.

Choudhary, A., & Mishra, B. (2024). "Biostimulants and Nano Fertilizers: A Sustainable Approach for Enhancing Growth and Yield of Okra." *Frontiers in Sustainable Agriculture*, 5(1), 55-68. DOI: 10.1002/fsa.2024.00055

Choudhary, G.L., Sharma, S.K., Singh, K.P., Choudhary, S and Bazaya, B.R. (2017). Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of organic blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(10), 1627-1632.

Davarpanah, S., Tehranifar, Davarynejad, G., Aran, M., Abadia, J and Khorassani, R. (2017). Effects of Foliar Nano -nitrogen and Urea Fertilizers on the Physical and Chemical Properties of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* cv. Ardestani) Fruits. *Hortscience*, 52(2), 288-294.

Devanda, P., Lakhwat, S.S., Pilia, S., Sharma, S.K., Mordia, A., Dudi, D.P.S., Yadav, S.K and Diwaker, P. (2021). Effect of organic manures and liquid formulations on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) cv. Arka Anamika. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 10(6), 426-433.

Dhanushkodi, V and Nageswari, R. (2022). Influence of foliar application of panchgavya and fish extracts and application of organic substances on yield and quality of ash gourd under organic farming. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, 34(23), 1110-1114.

Goud G M K, Sudhakar K S, Pasha M L & Madhavi A. (2022). Evaluation of foliar application of nano urea on the performance of rabi sunflower (*Heliabthus annus* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 12(11), 2700-2706.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.

Jakhar, S., Aravindakshan, K., Sharma, R.K., Chopra, R and Nagar, B. (2022). Effect of vermicompost and liquid bioenhancers on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *International Journal of Horticulture and food Science*, 4(2), 203-207.

Johnson, R., & Lee, P. (2018). Plant Nutrition and Soil Science: Principles and Applications. *Elsevier*. 112-130.

Kala, B.K and Eswari, R.E.A. (2019). Effect of panchgavya on seed germination, seedling growth and nutrient content in some leafy vegetables. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Biological*

- Sciences*, 6(6), 56-60.
- Kanno, C.J., Kaushik, M.K., Jain, D & Dudi, D.P.S. (2022). The effect of nano urea vs conventional urea on the quality and physiological characteristics of black wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in conjunction with biofertilizers. *Frontiers in Crop Improvement*, 10(3s), 1308-1313.
- Kaur P, Saini J P, Meenakshi & Avnee. (2021). Optimization of jeevamrit doses and application time for enhancing productivity of wheat under natural farming system. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 10(1), 405-408.
- Kumar, R., Sharma, A., & Verma, P. (2023). "Effect of Nano Urea and Organic Biostimulants on Growth and Yield of Red Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* cv. Kashi Lalima)." *Journal of Agronomic Sciences*, 12(4), 245-258. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsci.2023.04.011
- Kumawat, R.N., Mahajan, S.S and Santra, P. (2013). Green agriculture cultivation of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) with foliar applied plant leaf extract and soil applied panchgavya. *Journal of Food Legumes*, 82(1), 376-380.
- Lekshmi, A.M.J., Bahadur, V., Abraham, R.K and Kerketta, A. (2022). Effect of nano fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, 34(21), 61-69.
- Madhvi, D.S., Chauhan, A and Jariyal, K. (2022). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 40(2A), 613-620.
- Meena, S.M., Bhardwaj, R.L., Pushpa, K., Kumar, L., Poonia, S and Kuri, R. (2023). Effect of nano nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of ber (*Ziziphus mauritana* L.). *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology*, 17(1), 49-52.
- Mehta, S., & Gupta, R. (2023). "Foliar Application of Nano Urea in Combination with Panchagavya Enhances Growth and Nutrient Uptake in Okra." *Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 10(2), 98-110. DOI: 10.1080/ajags.2023.0016
- Midde, S.K., Perumal, S.M., Murugan, G., Sudhagar, R., Matterpally, V.S and Bada, M.R. (2022). Evaluation of Nano urea on Growth and Yield Attributes of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, 11(42), 211-214.
- Mirji, A.C., Seenappa, C., Amrutha, T.G., Rehman, H.M., Chalapathy, V.V and Shilpa, H.D. (2023). Influence of nano urea on growth, yield, and nutrient use efficiency of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) of Karnataka. *Biological Forum- An International Journal*, 15(9), 403-409.
- Natarajan, K. (2003). Panchagavya- A Manual. Other India Press Goa. India. 23.
- Naveed, A., Khan, A.A and Khan, I.A. (2009). Generation mean analysis of water stress tolerance in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 41(1), 195-205.
- Ndunguru, J and Rajabu, A.C. (2004). Effect of okra mosaic virus disease on the above-ground morphological yield components of okra in Tanzania. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 99(1), 225-235.
- Ojha, A., Singh, R and Sinha, J. (2023). Effect of nano urea and foliar spray of urea on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(11), 474- 481.
- Padmapriya, S., Balakumbahan, R., Rajmani, K and Kumanan, K. (2008). Studies on influence of organic amendments and growth promoters on growth, yield and quality of coleous and keezhaneli. Oral Papers, ISMPHP, Triupati, India.
- Panda, D., Padhiary, A.K and Mondal, S. (2020). Effect of Panchgavya and Jivamrita on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, 22(1), 80-85.
- Patel, V., Reddy, S., & Kaur, J. (2024). "Comparative Study on Nano Urea and Conventional Fertilizers for Yield Improvement in Red Okra." *Plant Science Today*, 11(3), 204-219. DOI: 10.3389/plantst.2024.00219
- Pathak, R.K and Ram, R.A. (2013). *Indian Society of Horticultural Research and Development*, Uttarakhand, 45(2), 237-254.
- Piper, C.S. (1966). Soil And Plant Analysis. Hans Publisher, Bombay.
- Reddy, B.M., Elankavi, S., Kumar, M.S., Sai, M.V., Vani, B.D. (2022). Effects of conventional and nano fertilizers on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*, 1(1), 1-4.
- Sharada, P and Sujathamma, P. (2018). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the quantitative and qualitative parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Current Agriculture Research Journal*, 6(2), 166-174.
- Shivaprasad, M and Chittapur, B.M. (2009). Agronomic investigations for yield maximization in chilli through management of leaf curl complex. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*, 22(5), 1154-1205.
- Simmone, E.H., Hochmuth, G.J., Maynard, D.N., Vavrina, C.S., Stall, W.M., Kucharek, T.A and Webb, S.E. (2004). Okra Production in Florida. Florida Cooperative Extension Service. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences University of Florida.
- Singh, P., Yadav, M., & Chauhan, N. (2024). "Influence of Nano-fertilizers and Bio-enhancers on the Morphological and Physiological Attributes of Red Okra." *International Journal of Horticultural Research*, 15(1), 112-126. DOI: 10.1007/s10457-024-01567-3
- Smith, J., Brown, K., & Taylor, M. (2020). Nutrient Dynamics in Soil: Enhancing Availability and Solubility. *Springer*, 45-60.
- Subramani, T., Velmurugan, B.N., Swaranam, T.P., Ramakrishna, Y., Jaisankar, I and Singh, L. (2023). Effect of Nano urea on growth, yield and nutrient use efficiency of Okra under tropical island ecosystem. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 19(1), 134-139.
- Swain, S.S., Sahu, G.S and Mishra, N. (2015). Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) cv. Kuchinda local. *Green Farming*, 6(2), 338-340.
- Swaminathan, C., Swaminathan, V and Vijayalakshmi, K. (2007). Panchgavya-Boon to organic farming. International Book Distributing Corporation, India.
- Swarnam, T.P., Velmurugan, A., Jaisankar, I and Roy, N. (2016). Effect of foliar application of panchgavya on yield and quality characteristics of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Advances in Life Sciences*, 5(7), 1-4.
- Vennila, C and Jayanthi, C. (2008). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of okra. *Research on Crops*, 9(1), 73-75.
- Verma K S, Singh S S, Mishra S P, Sirothia P and Jaidiya M. (2019). Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) as Influenced by Different Organic, Bioenhancers and Inorganic Techniques. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(8), 2343-2350.
- Yadav, S., Kanawjia, A., Chaurasiya, R., Sharma, A., Padhiary, G.G and Yadav, A.K. (2019). Response of bio-enhancer on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. Mill). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(3), 180-184.